	U.S. C	:
	d 1000	225
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	LONG ISLAM	OU. ME
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
v.	APPLICATION AND OF EXCLUDABLE D	
EDWARD M. WALSH, JR.	15 - MJ 2	
Defendant.	•	
The United States of America and the defendant	Edward M. Walsh, Jr.	hereby jointly
request that the time period from1-7-2015	to 3-6-2015	be
excluded in computing the time within which an informa	tion or indictment must be filed	. The parties
seek the foregoing exclusion of time in order because		
() they are engaged in plea negotiations, w	thich they believe are likely to r	esult in a
disposition of this case without trial, and they require an	exclusion of time in order to foc	us efforts on
plea negotiations without the risk that they would not, de	spite their diligence, have reasc	mable time for
effective preparation for trial,		
() they need additional time to investigate a	nd prepare for trial due to the o	omplexity of
case,		
()		·
This is the (circle one) (first/second application fo	rentry of an order of excludable	e delay. The
lefendant was arrested on1/7/15 and	d released on 1/7/15	·

FILED INCERPACE FOR

For defendant to read, review with counsel, and acknowledge:

I understand that federal law generally provides that I have a right to have formal charges lodged against me within thirty days of my arrest and a right to a trial on those charges within seventy days after formal charges have been lodged. I further understand that I do not have to consent to the exclusion of time sought in this application and that, by consenting to entry of this order, the date on which formal charges must be lodged will be delayed and the date for the commencement of any trial on those charges will likely be delayed as well. I also understand that if formal charges are not brought against me within the time required by law, I may seek relief from the court, and that this relief might include dismissal of the complaint now pending against me.

I have reviewed this application, as well as the order annexed below, and have discussed the

coerced for my consent.	d of my own free will. I have not been threatened or
	20 mulh
1-7-2015	
Date . C	Defendant
For Defendant's Counsel to read and acknowled	lge:-
I certify that I have reviewed this application and	the attached order carefully with my client. I further
certify that I have discussed with my client a defe	endant's right to speedy indictment and the question of
whether to consent to entry of an order of exclud-	able delay. I am satisfied that my client understands the
contents of this application and the attached order	r, that my client consents to the entry of the order
oluntarily and of his or her own free will, and th	at my client has not been threatened or coerced for
consent.	Land St
7	Coursel for Defendant
	States of America and defendant _Edward M. Walsh, Jr.
, and with the express writ	tten consent of the defendant, the time period from
1-7-2015 to 3-6-2015	is hereby excluded in computing the time within
	as the Court finds that this exclusion of time serves the
hich an information or indictment must be filed,	
•	the public and the defendant in a speedy trial because
nds of justice and outweighs the best interests of () given the reasonable likelihood that his case without trial, the exclusion of time will a egotiations without the risk that they would be de-	ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of illow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea enied the reasonable time necessary for effective
nds of justice and outweighs the best interests of () given the reasonable likelihood that his case without trial, the exclusion of time will a egotiations without the risk that they would be de-	ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of illow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea enied the reasonable time necessary for effective ise of due diligence.
nds of justice and outweighs the best interests of () given the reasonable likelihood that his case without trial, the exclusion of time will a segotiations without the risk that they would be desparation for trial, taking into account the exerci-	ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea enied the reasonable time necessary for effective ise of due diligence. for trial due to the complexity of case.
nds of justice and outweighs the best interests of () given the reasonable likelihood that his case without trial, the exclusion of time will a segotiations without the risk that they would be determined for trial, taking into account the exercity additional time is needed to prepare to	ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea enied the reasonable time necessary for effective ise of due diligence. for trial due to the complexity of case.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE